Cr 1002 (1) (3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

Writ Petition No.----------4058----------/04

PETITIONER : Fayeem Khan S/o Chand Khan Aged- About 28 Years, R/o- Ward No. 13,Manendra Garh, Distt.- Korea [C.G.].

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State Of Chhattisgarh
   Through- Secretary
   Urban Administration and Development Department
   DKS Bhawan, Raipur[C.G.].
2. Collector & District Election Officer
   Distt.- Korea, Baikunth Pur [C.G.].
3. Chief Municipal Officer,
   Municipal Council (Nagar Paalika Parishad), Manendra Garh, Distt.- Korea [C.G.].
4. State Election Officer, Raipur [C.G.].

P.R. No. 3988/04 Presented by Shri Sanjay Pare dated 19/11/04

COPY 19/11/04 C.G.W.A. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The above named petitioner most humbly and respectfully begs to submit as under:

1. **PARTICUALRS OF THE PETITIONER**

   As per the cause title

2. **PARTICUALRS OF THE RESPONDENTS**

   As per the cause title

6870/04 17/11/04

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(29)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 4058/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09.11.2004 On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing. Shri Sanjay Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri N.K. Agarwal, Deputy Advocate General, for the State. Shri Sanjay K Agarwal, Counsel for Respondent No.3. Heard learned counsel for the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioner while placing reliance on an incorrect document argued that Scheduled Caste population of Nanak Ward No.15 is 9.06%, whereas as per the document submitted by counsel for respondent No.3 the population of Scheduled Castes of Nanak Ward No.15 is 17.98% and in descending order that comes to Sr.No.2. Therefore, as per provisions of Section 29-A of the Municipalities Act, 1961 reservation has been correctly made. After going through the document submitted by counsel for respondent No.3, counsel for the petitioner has no grievance. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. Consequently, M.W.P. 4448/04 & I.A. Nos.8257/04 also stand dismissed. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये